embodied in economic and political ideologies is the principal one. The Buddhists, the Christians, and all religions must be united, learn from one another, and cooperate, if their message is to be meaningful and liberative for men and women in the world of constant transformation today.

Seri Phongphit

Thai Institute for Rural Development , Bangkok.

Abbreviations

- TL Theology of Liberation,
 History, Politics, and Salvation
 by Gustavo Gutierrez, Orbis Book, Maryknoll, New York, 1973.
- MT Minjung Theology
 People as the Subjects of History
 edited bythe Commission on Theological Concerns of the
 Christian Conference of Asia, Zed Press, London, 1983.
- TPE Thai Philosophy of Education (in Thai Language)
 Phra Rajavaramuni,
 Kledthai, Bangkok, first edition March 1975.
- RD Religion and Development (in English)
 Sulak Sivaraksa, first edition, Church of Christ in Thailand, 1976.
- BA "Buddhist Agriculture", by Prawase Wasi, in

 Turning Point of Thai Farmers.

 edited by Seri Phongphit and Robert Bennoun,

 Mooban Press, Bangkok, 1988.
- RCS Religion in Changing Society
 Buddhism, Reform and the Role of Monks
 in Community Development in Thailand
 Seri Phongphit, Arena Press, Hong Kong, 1988.

ON REINTERPRETING THE DHAMMA: TOWARDS AN ADEQUATE THAI SOCIAL ETHICS

If Siam stands We Thai will al-But if Siam falls, It's all as if

eternal, so live; how can Thai be? Thai name perished. ¹

The above poem expresses the ultimate concern for the Thai as Thai. As the ultimate concern, it aspires to articulate the Thai Ideal of nationhood or national identity. For King Wachirawudth, the author of the poem, the identity of the Thai nation involved the total unity of three symbols: chat (nation; the land and the people), sasana (religion: Buddhism), and phra maha kasat (king). For him this threefold identity was the ultimate reality for which all true and partiotic Thais must be willing and proud to sacrifice even their lives in its defense, protection, and support. Defending and supporting this Ideal of Thainess was conceived of as the only source for lasting peace and honor. Because of its ultimate claim and power, commanding total allegiance and devotion from all Thai, the chat-sasana-phra maha kasat trinity takes on a religious character. It is precisely this kind of "religion" that has served to constitute the Thai nationhood, to unite the Thai people, and to provide the Thai people with a sense of destiny. It is this reality that scholars such as Robert Bellah have called "civil religion." And it is this reality which is meant here by "Thai Dhammocracy." And finally, it is this "Thai Dhammocracy" which we are attempting to retrieve and reconstruct in this article.

First, I shall briefly describe and evaluate a "typical" attempt to deal with the problem. This is the celebrated seminar on "Buddhism in Contemporary Thai Society" in Bangkok in August, 1969, under the joint sponsorship of the Siam Society and the Buddhist Association of Thailand. Second, I seek to retrieve and reconstruct the fundamental structure and intentionality of the traditional Thai Buddhist ideal social order, especially the anachak (temporal) dimension. This is in fact a retrieval of the fundamental intentionality of the ideal Buddhist kingship, since that was precisely the symbol of the Thai order throughout her long history. And in performing this task of hermeneutical reconstruction, I shall draw on the insights and accumulated wisdom of certain selected scholars as well as undertake a fresh reinterpretation of the sacred texts and religious and political symbols. Then finally, I shall conclude

the article with a discussion of the significance of kingship in the Thai traditional ideal social order.

'Buddhism in Contemporary Thai Society': a monastic approach

Moved by a deep concern for the viability of Buddhism in modern Thailand, two of the staunchest supporters of Thai Buddhism, the Siam Society and the Buddhist Association of Thailand, jointly sponsored a three-day seminar in August of 1969. Participating in that occasion were official and unofficial representatives of the king, the government, the Buddhist monastic order (sangha), and the general public. A member of the Privy Council, the Minister of Education, the President of the Buddhist Association, the President of the Siam Society, high ranking monks, editors, professors, authors, students, and foreigners were among those present. It was a grand assembly, well publicized and well regarded. That the event was of great importance is beyond doubt. Simply perusing the published volume of its Minutes gives one a sense of the enormous public attention given to that seminar.3

On a deeper level, the basic intention of this seminar was well formulated by Buddhadasa in this introduction to the published volume. "A seminar", he says, "is rooted in the human fear of the encroaching danger and on his struggle to escape from it in order to save himself."4 "But we often do not get that far in most of our seminars", he goes on to complain, "because we tend to use the occasion for intellectual assault on the other or for exhibiting our own intellectual arrogance and verbal sharpness, often with an eye to gain publicity....A true seminar should focus on how to get out of the heap of suffering. It should involve the real victims, not just the dilettantes as we usually see. A true seminar should be like a major surgery, getting at the root cause of all problems which we have called suffering." In Buddhadasa's view, that particular seminar did not adequately deal with the heart of the matter, the Buddha Dhamma, giving more time to things periphery and instrumental. In order to get at the heart of the matter, he suggests, we must transcend the outer garb, the literary interpretation, and get down to "the fundamental intention" of the Lord Buddha's Teaching.

As an example of this radical reinterpretation, Buddhadasa deals with the five precepts which are required of all Buddhists. Instead of the traditionally bland recital of (1) do not kill, (2) do not steal, (3) do not commit adultery, (4) do not tell a lie, and (5) do not drink intoxicants, he unites all five precepts under the theme of non-violence. For Buddhadasa, the five precepts require their adherents to abstain from doing violence to (1) the life and body of man or animal and other living things, (2) other people's property, (3) that which is dearly loved by others, (4) other people's rights and identities, and (5) one's own conscience and intellect.

For Buddhadasa, only this kind of radical reinterpretation is adequate to combat the great danger at hand. He calls the great danger "materialism", which has taken the upper hand in Thailand only recently. For him there is only one sure way to deal with this pressing problem: all Buddhists must know exactly what it means to be a Buddhist. That is the principle of Dhamma, which may be simply stated as the dread of doing evil and the courage to do the meritorious (kliad baap and kla bun). Finally, Buddhadasa concludes that the Thai people, laymen and monks alike, have fallen victims to this dreadful materialism, leading us astray from caring for that which is truly Dhammic. To save our souls we have to return to the root and to the real intention of the Buddha Dhamma; nothing else is adequate.

A careful reading of the minutes of this famous seminar reveals the "monastic" approach and presuppositions of the whole event. By that I mean the approach which presupposes that the continued existence and lumination of the Buddhist monasteries, populated by dedicated monks, is essential to the viability of Thai society. To the organizers and the participants in that seminar, the problem at hand was conceived in these terms. As one participant remarked:

the important fact was that society has changed; if religion remained unchanged, it would be a dead or non-living thing. If Buddhism could not keep up with society, no young men would volunteer to enter the monkhood in the future. The new generation would lose faith in it. Nowadays it is already difficult to find monks at the abbot rank in provincial temples. The Buddhist Church and the Kingdom must cooperate, otherwise the future would be very dark indeed. Faithlessness and paganism would come to take the place of the true religion.6

Furthermore, the monastic approach assumes that it is the monks' duty to teach the Buddha Dhamma to the people. And in light of the fact that Thai society has greatly changed, the monks must know how to choose the true Teaching and to apply it according to the times and the new requirements of the society. In short, the monks must know how to interpret the Dhamma to suit the needs of the times. As a young monk intellectual puts it, this reinterpretation is justified because, "the teaching of the Buddha, handed down long ago, must now be contained in much thicker clothing and is now much more difficult to penetrate.... Therefore, the monks must try to peel off the thick clothing so that the people of the modern society can see the core."7 Otherwise both Buddhism and the kingdom face a real danger.

This monastic approach is typical of the contemporary discussion of "religion" and "politics" in Thailand. Basic to this approach is the sharp distinction between the thang lok (worldly way) and thang tham (spiritual way) and their separate domains - anachak and sasanachak. In this context, karnmuang ("politics") refers to the struggle for power and only in the anachak realm. In this sense, there should be no karnmuang in the sasanachak realm. For, according to this view, karnmuang is full of dirty tricks and greed. In order to help lessen such greed and tricks, it is the individual's own responsibility to "seek out" sasana ("religion" or the spiritual realm). The Buddhist monastic order as the institutional expression of the sasana, symbolized by the yellow robe, is normally the place where one can "find" sasana. And the primary function of the monastic order is to be ascetically pure and available to those who take the trouble to seek them out. The sasana, in short, has no business with karnmuang, except very indirectly. That is to say, a man of karnmuang may "apply" some of the teachings of the sasana which he, in his private capacity such as his listening to the sermons on Buddhist holy days, may still remember. The sasana at best serves karnmuang as restraint when man of karnmuang may go overboard. A man of sasana would, in this connection avoid entering karnmuang. This is the monastic approach to "religion" and "politics". As shown below, such an approach is inadequate.

Although the monastic view was dominant in this seminar, there were also a few participants who held a modified version of this monastic view. Let us call this view "modern Buddhist". The modern Buddhist subscribes to the basic distinction between the "spiritual" and the "worldly" ways and all its derivatives. The point of departure for him is his willingness to "reinterpret" the essential meaning of the Buddha's Teaching. As the passage quoted earlier suggests, the modern Buddhist must try his best to peel the outer garb from the core of Buddhism, so that the real Dhamma will be brought to light. On this reinterpretation, many of the modern Buddhists present in the seminar strongly recommended a more active role for Buddhist monks, such as visiting the sick and the poor, a role never sanctioned by orthodox Theravada Buddhism. Moreover, they were willing to allow Buddhist monks access to the "modern way of life" such as cars, televisions, and refrigerators, within the basic Buddhist vinaya (discipline) that these things are handled for them by the vaiyavachakorn (layman in attendance). The intention behind these modern Buddhist modifications, however, is the voluntary adaptation of the Buddhist ways so that the "real Dhamma" of Buddhism would speak to contemporary men.

There are at least two basic problems with both the monastic and the modern Buddhist approach to the discussion of social order (in their terms, sasanachak and anachak). The first is their tendency towards institutional conservatism, and the second is an inadequate and misleading dichotomy between the "spiritual" and the "worldly" ways. First of all institutional

conservatism has been often used as a justification for maintaining the status quo, at the expense of the dynamics of historical and circumstantial reality, in the fulfillment of human needs. On top of this, or perhaps more accurately behind it, the sharp dichotomy between "religion" and "politics" has led to the moralistic condemnation of political power and material concern as inherently inferior if not entirely evil. At the same time, it has led those who cannot accept this monastic view to forsake or ignore the monastic realm altogether and turn instead to embrace uncritically the Western idea of Progress, or "Democracy", or even Communism as their new religion. This is the state in which we live today.

Finally, as a consequence of both institutional conservatism and misleading dichotomy, much time, energy, and resources have been wasted on secondary issues. In the judgment of the most astute observer among their ranks, the Venerable Buddhadasa Bhikkhu, that seminar "missed the heart of the matter", the central conception of the Buddhist Dhamma, "focusing instead on the external, the trivial, and the instrumental." In short, the typical monastic approach to the problem of sasanachak ("religion") and anachak ("politics") leaves much to be desired. A new and more viable approach is sorely needed.

Fundamental intentionality of the Thai tradition

In the following pages, I shall attempt to retrieve or reconstruct the fundamental intentionality of the Thai tradition. It is both an embodiment of a hermeneutical method in search of the self-understanding and self-discovery by way of a critical and interpretive analysis of the Thai religious and political symbols. And since this paper focuses on the anachak (temporal) dimension of the Thai Dhamma, it follows that the texts chosen for our purpose be those which deal primarily with the temporal matters. Therefore, the texts chosen deal with the idealized past of the Thai people rather than with the Buddhist Pali Canon. Written by monk and court scholars as well as by literary kings and princes, these non-canonical yet idealized texts were unmistakably inspired by the spirit of Buddhism and borrowed liberally, from both canonical and post-canonical sources. As far as government and administration were concerned, these texts had more influence on the kings and rulers than the Pali Canon. Nonetheless, it cannot be overemphasized that the authority of these texts rests on their appeal to the Buddha Dhamma, articulating it in modes and contexts appropriate for the kings and rulers. In this connection, it should be noted that in post-1932 Thai history, these texts have been almost entirely ignored by the modern power elite and scholars. It is my contention that if the authentic Thai tradition is to be properly understood, these texts must be taken seriously.

Analyzing these texts is precisely the intent of this article. As a first step, let us note some basic tenets of Buddhism, internalized by all good Thai Buddhist from birth.

- (a) A doctrinal statement of the Buddhist point of view. Doctrinally speaking, the Buddhist point of view begins with the phenomenal world, and deals primarily with the nature of existence. A Buddhist experiences something because he is in relationship with it. This "something" is life, conditioned (dukkha), ever-changing (aniccang), and not-yet-fully-known (anatta). But in Buddhism life is meant to be rightly comprehended and fully realized as freedom in the state of nibbana or perfect existence. To achieve this goal, the Buddha taught four essential principles of life which he discovered from his own experience and meditation. Known as the Four Noble Truths (Ariya Satya Si), they include:
- (1) Dukkha refers to the nature of existence which is characteristically imperfect. There are three aspects or states of existence: (a) ordinary suffering, the state of physical pain and mental anguish; (b) the state caused by changes for the worse; and (c) that state of conditionedness or not being free. It is the Buddhist position that this conditioned phenomenal existence (dukkhata) is to be transcended.
- (2) Dukkha-smudaya refers to the nature of causation affecting imperfect existence. This principle posits that if dukkha or conditioned existence is to be transcended (nirodha) and if perfect freedom (nib-bana) is to be realized, then the nature of causation must be rightly understood and its operative principles mastered. Generally speaking, in Buddhism the process of conditioned life is viewed as one stage of the continual phenomenal changes (samsāra) caused primarily by greeds (lobha), anger (dosa), and misconception (moha). This principle of causation has been classically expressed in terms of the law of kamma which, in the words of Buddhadasa Bhikkhu, may be stated as follows:

Happiness and suffering are the results of their own causes, that is, the person's deeds. The results of any person's action must fall on that person with certainty and justice... All creatures have their own kamma, and their lives turn in response to their former kamma, interacting as both cause and effect, without a break. We call this interrelationship samsāra?

(3) Dukkha-nirodha refers to the ultimate freedom in perfect existence or transcendence. This principle concerns the ending of causation (second principle) and thus the disappearance of dukkha (first principle) whereupon freedom in perfect existence (nibbana) may be attained. This twofold dimension of nirodha, stopping and realizing, is achievable by the practice of the fourth and final principle of life, magga.

(4) Magga refers to the Middle Way or the Eightfold Path, the principle whose actualization results in the fulfillment of the first three principles. Discerned in meditation, the Middle Way is the way between two extremes; between, for example, devotion to sensual pleasures and devotion to ascetic self-mortification. As the elaboration of the Middle Way, the Eightfold Path consists of the following: right understanding, right thinking, right speech, right action, right livelihood, right effort, right attention, and right concentration.

By emphasizing the rightness of these eight life activities, Buddhism recognizes that they may be done imperfectly or even be distorted. Such an imperfection or distortion is what is meant by the bap ("sin") in the Thai language. Imperfection or distortion of the truth or purity of life leads to suffering and evil in Buddhism. In the world of everyday life, this implies the perfectability or progress from the phenomenal and conditioned existence toward the state of perfect freedom. In this connection, Buddhism provides a fourfold principle, called brahma-vihāra or the four sublime states, which has come to be taken by the Thai people as both descriptive and prescriptive of the Buddhist ideal of human life.

Remembered by heart by most Thai, including those who are non-Buddhists, this principle of four sublime states includes: (a) mettā ("loving kindness"), (b) karunā ("compassion"), (c) muditā ("celebration"), and (d) upekkhā ("equanimity"). Mettā or "loving kindness" means the promotion of the welfare and well-being of all, friends and enemies alike - goodwill toward all and vengeance toward none. It is in the same category as agape in the Christian theology. Karuna or "compassion" means taking care not to inflict any harm, unhappiness, or suffering on others and helping to abolish or alleviate cruelty and sorrow of fellow human beings. Mudita or "celebration" in Buddhism means gladness in other's success and achievement, subduing personal envy and jealousy, but producing joyfullness and merriment instead. And finally, upekkhā or "equanimity" aims at promoting a sense of detachment or neutrality particularly in the face of tragedy or sorrow. It encourages an appreciation of things for what they are, so that resentment or approval is not expanded into a distortion of reality or unjust practice.

This is a brief account of the common core of Dhamma which has for many centuries constituted the basic content of moral and ethical instruction in the Thai social order. Before the establishment of modern schools around the

turn of the century, the Buddhist temples (wat) were primarily responsible for this instruction. Today the public as well as the private school systems are charged with the responsibility, generally without any meaningful cooperation from the Buddhist monks. However, for the village folk, who comprise the overwhelming majority of the Thai people, the wat and the monks continue to be their primary source of moral and spiritual guidance. In terms of scholarship, these matters have been, by and large, under the influence of the conservative monastic approach. As such, it is doctrinally oriented. Nevertheless, it has served the Thai order well, providing the order with doctrinal and psychological security, particularly on the personal level. But in light of the present crisis of the Thai order, it is highly doubtful that this monastic approach to Dhamma will be adequate. For it is a long jump from these doctrinal statements and their monastic instruction to a Buddhist understanding of government and politics. When the Thai kings enjoyed "absolute" power, this approach to Dhamma was more or less adequate, for according to tradition, the kings had to undergo many other elaborate and involved processes of training and education in addition to this monastic Buddhist education. But when the Thai constitution drastically changed in 1932, a reinterpretation of Dhamma as the vision of the new Thai order is called for. Let us begin with the question: What is Dhamma?

(b) The meaning of Dhamma. – Dhamma or Tham in Thai is, in the judgment of a historian of religions, "one of the richest categories in all history of religions, Thai or otherwise." According to the Pali-English Dictionary, Dhamma refers to "that which the Buddha preached... the order of the universe, immanent, eternal, uncreated, not as interpreted by him only, much less invented or decreed by him, but intelligible to the mind of his range." More specifically, Dhamma in the earliest Buddhist traditions refers primarily to the sacred reality which the Buddha discovered at the point of his Enlightenment. For him and his followers, that sacred experience is the ultimate discovery of the Dhamma, the Truth which serves as the source of order in the world and salvation from it. 12

Particularly in the Thai context, Buddhadasa's discussion of the meaning of Dhamma is typical. For the learned monk-scholar, there are two levels of meanings of Dhamma, the literal and the interpretive. Literally, Dhamma means "to stay by itself or support itself, that is, to exist... Dhamma means everything without exception, from the finest speck of dust... up to things most valuable, and things abstract, such as spirit, thought, feelings, action or kamma, and the result of kamma, the highest of which is the attainment of Nirvana." Interpretively, Dhamma means for Buddhadasa certain things in certain contexts. In this regard, he distinguishes four meanings of Dhamma. The first refers to Dhamma as the Cosmic Order and its laws. Dhamma in this sense, the Bhikkhu points out, corresponds to Form or Forma in Latin. The

second meaning of Dhamma refers to Doctrine or Religion. That is, it refers to "a system of study or observation or action... conceived and undertaken in order to unite men and the highest, which means God or the extincition of suffering – Nirvana – whatever the case may be. "14 The meaning of Dhamma in the third sense refers to the realm of virtue, moral quality, righteousness, ideal, and duty. Dhamma in this sense refers to goodness in human character and fairness in human relationships. The fourth meaning of Dhamma refers to the regulative power behind the motion of all beings. This is the equitable power that rights all wrongs, the ground of justice and equity. Dhamma in this last sense constitutes a Buddhist political ethics.

The Buddhist Emperor Asoka (ca. 274-232 B.C.), who became a kind of paradigmatic model for later Buddhist kings, also summed up his religious and political teaching in this single word, Dhamma. Dhamma for Asoka refers to the basic order of the universe and the truth discerned in that order. It is the bond uniting people in various forms of community. It provides a guide and a ground for human action. Self-realization and happiness are based on it. In the words of Richard McKeon, Dhamma "is achieved by action, advanced by instruction, and protected by sanctions; and in turn it provides a basis for policy, education and justice." This leads us to consider Dhammic action.

(c) Dhamma and time. - According to the Indian mythology of time, which has been effectively transmitted to the traditional Thai consciousness along with Buddhism, the Universal Dhamma finds its expressions or dispensations in endless cosmic cycles. According to this tradition, each cosmic or world cycle is subdivided into four yugas or world ages.17 Comparable to the Greco-Roman tradition, these yugas decline in moral excellence as the age proceeds. Briefly, these four yugas may be described as follows: The first, the Krita yuga, is the perfect beginning when the moral order of the world is firm on its four legs, like the sacred cow, 100 per cent effective as an all-pervading element in the organism of the universe. During this yuga men and women are born virtuous. They devote their lives to the fulfillment of Dhamma. As the life-process of the world-organism gains momentum, however, order loses ground. Holy Dhamma vanishes quarter by quarter, leg by leg, while its converse gains the field. Thus, in the second yuga, the Treta, the universal body as well as the human society is sustained by only three-fourths of its total virtue. Worse yet is the third yuga when the cow of ethical order, instead of firmly standing on four legs, now balances on two. The ideal destroyed, the knowledge of the revealed hierarchy of values is lost. And finally, in the Kali yuga or Dark Age, the world subsists miserably on 25 per cent of the full strength of Dhamma. Such moral and social degradation is characterized in a passage of the Vishnu Purana: "When society reaches a stage, where property confers rank, wealth becomes the only source of virtue, passion the sole bond of union between husband and wife, falsehood, the source of success in life, sex

the only means of enjoyment, and outer trappings are confused with inner religion..." Then we are in the Kali yuga, that is, the world of today.18 (No wonder, the world today is in such a mess!)

 $The \, question \, for \, us \, here \, is \, the \, relation \, of the \, Universal \, Dhamma \, to \, the se$ four degenerating yugas. What, really, degenerates? If Dhamma degenerates, how can it be universal? Or is it the capacity of human beings to actualize the Dhamma that degenerates? What, in short, is the meaning of the above myth of time? For a guide at this point, let us turn to Robert Lingat, a noted authority on Indology. In his article, "Time and Dharma"19, Lingat interprets Verses 85 and 86 of Book I of Manu, one of the Indian classics:

85. Otherwise are the dharmas in the krit \bar{a} age, otherwise in the treta age and the dvapara age, otherwise in the kali age because of the debasement of these ages.

86. Essential in the kritā age is austerity (tapas), in the treta age knowledge (jhāna), in the dvapara age sacrifice (yājna), in the kali age the gift

In his interpretation, Lingat points out two contradictory tendencies in the history of the interpretation of these two verses. On the one hand, modern Indian commentators seek to claim that these texts signify "a gradual improvement of manners and a continuous progress of institutions."20 On the other hand, traditional Indian jurists see in them a reflection of regression, not of the Dhamma itself but in "man's growing weakness and the dimming of his moral

Having noted these tendencies which he thinks misleading, Lingat posits "for the Buddhist, the Good Law, the Dhamma, represents undoubtedly an eternal truth, and even if it should sink into oblivion, would nonetheless endure. Man's ignorance and sins alone stand in the way of its recognition and prevent it from being enforced, as it were, on earth as in heaven."22 Further-

When Manu declares that the Dhamma bull has lost, in the course of time, three of its feet, his statement must be taken as meaning that the Dhamma needs to be upheld, that is to say, not only taught and propagated – which was not needed in the $krit\bar{a}$ age-but also applied according to each man's capacity, in the contexts of the customs and opinions of the age. This is where the interpreter has his say. But it constitutes above all the mission of the King. Indeed it behooves him to restore the Dhamma bull.... The King, through his statecraft, founds the rule of Dhamma.... He is the author of time, not of the chronological time which is but an abstraction, but of the time which ripens the

To support this interpretation. Lingat quotes Manu himself who identifies the conduct of the king with the ages of the world: "Asleep he is in the kali age, awake the dvapara age, about to act the treta age, and in action the krita age" (Book IX, verses 301-302).

Thus we see that Dhamma in relation to time means action, particularly the action of the King whose significance will be further examined below. But in the process of moving toward that, let us next discuss Dhamma in relation to space.

(d) Dhamma and space. - In the world of Southeast Asian kingdoms. the conceptions of the ideal social order were cosmologically grounded, with the king as the magic center of the empire. Patterned after the cosmological conception of the universe, the empire or the kingdom becomes a microcosm. with the king representing Indra. Like Indra who rules over the gods and angels in Dusita Heaven, the earthly king is the pivot and the prime mover within his realm. He must know the cosmological principle of motion, the universal Dhamma, and attune himself and his actions to it. As Heine-Geldren puts it, this cosmological principle of motion says:

Humanity is constantly under the influence of forces emanating from the directions of the compass and from the stars and planets. These forces may produce welfare and prosperity or work havoc, according to whether or not individuals and social groups, above all the state. succeed in bringing their lives and activities in harmony with the universe. Individuals may attain such harmony by following the indications offered by astrology, the lore of lucky and unlucky days and many other rules. Harmony between the empire and the universe is achieved by organizing the former as an image of the latter, as a universe on a smaller scale.25

Heine-Geldren's interpretation follows the spatial understanding of Dhamma as the foundational principle of traditional state and kingship, that is, Buddhist social and political order. He summarizes both the Brahmanic and the Buddhist doctrines of the world, which in his understanding are symbolically the same. Particularly with regard to the Buddhist system, Mount Meru forms the center of the universe. It is the center of the seven concentric mountain ranges separated from each other by seven annular seas. Beyond the last of these mountain chains extends the ocean and in it lie four continents, one in each of the cardinal directions. The continent south of Mount Meru is Jambudvipa, the abode of men. And after the Great Ocean, the universe is surrounded by an enormous wall of rocks, the Chakravala range. On the slopes of Mount Meru lies the lowest of the paradises, that of the four guardians of the world; on its summit the second paradise, that of the thirty-three gods, including Indra who rules and reigns as king, having his magnificent palace in the city called Sudarsana.

Above Mount Meru tower one above the other are the rest of the heavenly abodes.

This is a spatial interpretation of Dhamma as universal order. Its significance for the human order is precisely the parallelism between macrocosmos and microcosmos, between the universe and the world of men. That is, the traditional social order in Southeast Asia was conceived and organized as a replica of the cosmological structure of the universe. More specifically, Dhamma in relation to space means hierarchy, center and periphery, and when integrated with temporal fullness - charisma. The capital and the palace is the magic center of the whole country, and the king who rules over the capital and occupies the palace is the axis and the apex of the empire. He typifies the entire people and links his empire with the universe. In the final analysis, Dhamma in relation to space means man living in harmony with his world with the king as his charismatic leader.

Thus far our discussion of Dhamma in relation of time and space has demonstrated a commanding significance of kingship as the symbol which, as an ideal, integrates the fullness of time in action and the sacredness of space as the center and the apex of the kingdom. I am suggesting here that it is precisely such a spatio-temporal integration that gives the king his charisma, the quality which legitimizes his rule and fulfills it. Such would be the rule of Dhamma.

The Conception and Significance of Kingship in the Thai Tradition

To discuss kingship in the context of Thai history, let us examine the original, classic and direct treatment found in the Trai Phum ("The Three Worlds") written by King Lithai, the grandson of King Ramkhamhaeng.26 In addition to examining this text, appropriate references will also be made to other texts which deal less directly but no less importantly with the ideal of kingship.

Written by the scholar prince and ruler for the purpose of the moral instruction of his people, the Trai Phum drew from at least thirty-two books and commentaries on the Buddhist tradition. Completed in 1345, it ranks as one of the oldest and richest documents created on the Thai soil by a Thai genius. According to the judgment of the late Phya Anuman Rajadhon, the Trai Phum's world view and symbolism have come to pervade all aspects of the Thai consciousness and memory, arts and literature, religion and politics.27 As such it served for many centuries as one of the major sources of the Thai traditional order. Its force began to decline when the modern Buddhist school of thought

emerged in the first half of the nineteenth century under the leadership of Mongkut. As the original Thai creation and as an unexcelled Thai Buddhist cosmology, it must be taken seriously in any consideration of the Thai tradition. For our present purpose, we shall touch only one aspect, the discussion of the "Phya Chakrapati Raj" or the ideal kingship.

Although three types of Buddhist kingship (the dhammaraja, the chakravartin, and the Buddha Metreya) are mentioned in the Trai Phum, the chakravartin ideal clearly dominates. Incorporated into the chapter on the "world of the human beings", King Lithai's discussion of kingship proceeds as follows. First, he describes in detail the four continents of the world, beginning with Chomphutaweep where the Thais live and which is situated in the south, and continuing to the eastern continent (Burapawithe), the northern continent (Uttarakuru), and the western continent (Amorakayana). Among the inhabitants of these continents, those who live in Uttarakuru are the most pure and beautiful and those who live in Chomphutaweep are most unstable. Their ages go up and down due to their moral fluctuations. Yet, people with great merit such as the Buddhas, the arahants (saints devoid of sins), future Buddhas, the bhodhisatvas, and Phya Chakrapatiraj (that is, chakravar-tin), are born only in Chomphutaweep and no where else.

After positing this world of Comphutaweep, over against the semimythical world of the other three continents, as the real stage of the human drama, King Lithai discusses at great length the ideal conception of the chakravartin, which he calls the ordinary charkravartin. Second, he discusses King Sridhammasokaraj as a prototype of the second and lesser category of chakravartin. This is the type which was identified above as dhammaraja. Third, he points out the third type, referring to a mythical king by the name of Mantaturaj who, according to the brief account in the Trai Phum, is greater than the first two types in merit, glory, and wealth, and who reigns over the four continents and the Chatumaharachika and the Dawadungsa levels of heavens. As such, his merit is beyond description. Finally, Lithai describes two actual kings, one good the other bad, together with all the implications involved.

As for the purely idealized chakravartin, this is what Lithai has to say:

This is how Phya Chakrapatiraj comes to be. Those who make merit by worshipping the three Gems (the Buddha, the Dhamma, and the Sangha), by giving to others, and by keeping the precepts and meditating, when they die will be reborn either in heavens or in this world as kings or rulers with great titles and dignity and great numbers of people under them. As the Chakrapatiraj, he conquers the whole universe... His words and orders are in accordance with the moral rules... He enjoys

leaders. He keeps the five precepts everyday and the eight precepts do not follow the Dhamma. The Thewada will dislike the unrighteous every holy day... He sits on the golden earth decorated with gems and is rulers and they will not set eye on them. filled with glory like that of the sun. The golden earth has silk and gold pillows on it and is situated in glorious gem palace... He concentrates on the Dhamma and on his passion. With the power of such merit he conquers the whole universe.28 Because of his great merit, the chakravartin (or the Phra Maha Chakra-

patiraj) commands the seven possessions called the seven gems, all of which arise independently but with the unique destiny of being at the service of the chakravartin. The first and most important is the Chakra Ratana, a gem wheel of 1,000 spokes, exceedingly beautiful, and located at the bottom of the ocean. This gem wheel is the symbol which binds the people in the four lands to the chakravartin. The mere sight of it commands the allegiance of all the people and brings about the feeling of joy, peace and happiness among those who see it. It serves as the vehicle for the chakravartin as he goes to the various lands claiming authority over them and preaching to their kings the moral precepts and the Dhamma. He also preaches to them the duties of a good king, although only a few of them are capable to follow his teachings. The possession of the Chakra Ratana gives to the chakravartin compassion and the knowledge of virtue and Dhamma, which gives him the same authority enjoyed by the Buddha himself. In addition to the five moral precepts and the ten kingly virtues, the preaching of Phra Maha Chakrapatiraj includes the duty of the rulers toward their subjects regarding taxation, loans, and so on; strict justice and thoroughness in their judgments; support of the priests, learned men and those who know the Dhamma; appropriate recognition, reward and punishment. Then the ideal king concludes his preaching thus:

listening to sermons from the monks and Brahmans and other religious

When the rulers observe the moral rules, the people will enjoy happiness and prosperity because of the power of his merit. The grain, the water, fish and food, precious ornaments, silk, and satin will be plentiful. The rain will fall in the right season in the right amount, not too much and not too little. The grain in the field and the fish in the water will not suffer from any lack of rain. The days, nights, months and years will be clearly defined. The Thewada who are guardians of the home and city will take good care just as though they fear the rulers who are righteous.

For the rulers who are not righteous the rain and water will go wrong. The plowing and planting will be ruined for lack of rain. The fruits and plants which grow on earth which used to have a good and delicious taste will lose their delicious taste, because they will sink down into the ground... The sun, the wind, the rain, the moon and the

After a lengthy and elaborate discussion of the Chakra Ratana or the gem wheel, and the performance of the chakravartin's duty, Lithai enumerates the other six possessions of the ideal king. They include the gem elephant, the gem horse, the gem Mani Ratana, the gem woman, the gem treasurer, and the gem general. A careful examination of the accounts of all these possessions reveals that they all came into being independent of each other and of the Phra Maha Chakrapatiraj, but they all rise to his service on account of his great merit. As the moral ground for rulership, the idea of merit is in turn based on Buddhist religious faithfulness. And finally, that religious faithfulness is the ultimate ground for the harmonious social order and its fulfillment.

stars will not regulate the seasons as usual. This is because the rulers

In addition to the purely idealized kingship, Lithai also idealizes a historical king, Sri Thammasokaraj or Ashoka. He is described as a lesser chakravartin for, in spite of his great merit and power, he still has some difficulties with his queen. Although they were able to settle their conflicts, Lithai's discussion of it clearly showed that he took serious account of women in his treatment of the ideal kingship. The point here is that in the ideal social order, conflicts must be reconciled in peace. Thus after the reconciliation, Sri Thammasokaraj said to his queen, Asanthamitta:

"Asanthamitta, my noble gem woman, from this day forward the royal household, the elephants, the horses, the subjects, the servants. the gold and silver, the precious possessions, and my sixteen thousand concubines are given to you to rule over. And also from now on you can do anything you wish. I will allow you to do anything you choose."

Although having that permission, Queen Asanthamitta continued to serve as the king's attendant and did not disobey her husband in the least. Therefore, we can see that in addition to reconciliation, the renewed relationship should be characterized by trust, openness, freedom, and devotion.

To illustrate the theme set forth earlier, that most rulers of the smaller lands do not have the capacity to follow the preachings of the chakravartin. Lithai discusses at length two examples of actual historical kingship - King Bhimbhisar of Rajakreuha and his son, King Achatsatru who killed his own father for the throne. The account of these two kings relates their respective relationships with Chotika, the millionaire of the city. While the father is able to resist the temptation to covet Chotika's wealth and property, the son is not. Urged by his bad advisor, Thewathat, King Achatsatru attempted to take over Chotika's wealth by force. Being a man of great merit himself, Chotika was able "I am not the lord and ruler, but I believe in my own merit. Even one thousand great rulers like yourself cannot take away my property which I do not give. It can be taken only when it is given. If your Majesty does not believe in my own merit which I earned in previous times, I will prove it..."

After having proved his merit, Chotika was filled with compassion and said to the king, "I would take my leave and enter the monastery; I will transfer my merit to you. Grant me that, and let me enter the monastery." Chotika became a Buddhist monk and attained the Arahant status, while his worldly possessions disappeared, leaving the greedy king bewildered. This last account is a vivid reminder that not all kings are good. Good or bad, in the last analysis, is a matter of merit, a matter of Buddhist religious obedience is first and foremost the responsibility of the king.

From the foregoing reinterpretation of the Dhamma, we may draw the following conclusions with regard to the significance of kingship in the context of Thailand:

- (1) Kingship was and has been identified with the Thai nationhood. Without kingship and what it symbolizes, Thailand would lose her historical identity.
- (2) The religious ground of the Thai kingship has interwoven the three religious elements, namely, the phii or spirit, the Brahmanic, and the Buddhist. The last element is all-inclusive and all-encompassing.
- (3) That kingship is the spatial center and temporal prime mover of the That order. In other words, the king is both the source and context of national unity and order on the one hand and the primary authority that moves the That order toward national prosperity and the people's happiness on the other. That is, toward destiny and fulfillment.
- (4) This charismatic quality (the integration of spatial center and temporal prime mover) of the Thai kingship is accomplished only if and when the king rules in accordance with the Buddha Dhamma. That is to say, the ideal Thai king is both religiously devout and politically wise.

Inconclusive conclusion

The above treatment is only a partial formulation of one of the three

dimensions required for a full treatise on Thai social ethics. For a comprehensive treatment of all the three dimensions, the reader is referred to my Ph.D. dissertation, **Dhamocracy in Thailand: a study of social ethics as a hermeneutic of Dhamma**, the University of Chicago, 1973.

Briefly, the first dimension deals with the retrieval of the traditional genius, made imperative by the contemporary societal crisis which must be clearly discerned and articulated (second dimension). Based on these, a resolute commitment to public policy and action in the direction of justice constitutes the third dimension.

I believe that the Venerable Buddhadasa Bhikkhu would at least support this method of doing social ethics. Therefore, it is my humble joy to dedicate this small contribution in his honour.

Bardwell Smith, ed. The Two Wheels of Dhammat Essays on the Theracada

Koson Srisang

Contours, P.O.Box 24, Jorakhe Bua, Bangkok 10230.